UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
DAVID M. KIMMEL,	X	JUDGMENT
Plaintiff, v.		20-cv-1074 (KAM)(ARL)
NEW YORK STATE ASSEMBLY; NEW YORK STATE SENATE; and NEW YORK STATE GOVERNOR ANDREW CUOMO,		
Defendants.	v	
A Memorandum and Order of Honorable K		umoto, United States District
Judge, having been filed on October 26, 2020, disn	nissing plain	tiff's complaint without prejudice
for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, Fed. R. Civ.	P. 12(h)(3);	certifying pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
1915 (a)(3) that any appeal would not be taken in g	good faith; as	nd denying in forma pauperis
status for the purpose of an appeal, Coppedge v. Un	nited States,	369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962); it is
ORDERED and ADJUDGED that plaintiff'	s complaint	is dismissed without prejudice for
lack of subject matter jurisdiction, Fed. R. Civ. P. 1	12(h)(3); and	I that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
1915(a)(3) that any appeal would not be taken in go	ood faith; an	d that in forma pauperis status is
denied for the purpose of any appeal. Coppedge v.	United State	es, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962).
Dated: Brooklyn, New York October 26, 2020		uglas C. Palmer erk of Court
	•	<u>/Jalitza Poveda</u> eputy Clerk